

From: [Singh, Sheetal \(CDPH-EMB\)](#)
To: [Forman, Keith S CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO](#)
Cc: [Hill, John M CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO](#); [Moore, Sarah A CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO](#); [Clark, David J CIV](#); [Lansdale, Lawrence L CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO](#); [Cardinale, Rene L CIV](#); [Pilorin, Ronald \(CDPH-EMB\)](#); [Huck, Russ \(CDPH-CEH-DRSEM\)](#); [Bacey, Juanita@DTSC](#)
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Information Requested in support of Site 6 RURR Letter
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 10:37:58 AM

Hi Keith,

Thanks for sharing your understanding of the details of (b) (6) involvement in TI-Site 6 radiological surveys. As discussed in the Managers meeting on Monday, April 10th 2017, EMB would like Navy to provide an affidavit clarifying the extent/limitation of the role of (b) (6) at Site 6. Specifically, EMB would like assurance that the integrity of the work performed at Site 6 is unaffected by the (b) (6) role in the Tetra Tech team performing surveys and collected samples. The affidavit should provide assurance that his role was limited, so that he would not have had an opportunity to compromise the data, having not been involved in the sampling, data analysis, or (except for work performed on (b) (6)) quality control. The affidavit should also confirm that (b) (6) is not involved in the soil sample falsification investigation currently going on at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard.

Sheetal

-----Original Message-----

From: Forman, Keith S CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO [<mailto:keith.s.forman@navy.mil>]
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Singh, Sheetal (CDPH-EMB)
Cc: Hill, John M CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO; Moore, Sarah A CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO; Clark, David J CIV; Lansdale, Lawrence L CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO; Cardinale, Rene L CIV
Subject: Information Requested in support of Site 6 RURR Letter

Dr. Singh,

Per your recent phonecon with Mr. Hill, I am providing the following details concerning (b) (6) involvement in the NSTI IR Site 6 radiological investigation:

1. What official role did he play? (b) (6) served, in a very limited capacity, as a Rad Tech on the project. He was overseen by (b) (6) the RSOR on the project.
2. What were his contributions to the WP and the FSSR? (b) (6) did not contribute to the development of the WP, nor did he work on the FSSR. The WP and FSSR were developed by (b) (6).
3. Did he evaluate the data? No. All soil sampling and scanning data were reviewed and evaluated by (b) (6).
4. What days was (b) (6) on site? (b) (6) worked on site between (b) (6) and (b) (6). His activities specifically included assisting with the towed array survey and sampling activities on Site 6. One day, (b) (6), he filled in for (b) (6) performing QC tasks on-site. (6)

I hope this information will lead to a RURR Letter for Site 6. Please contact me at your earliest convenience if I can be of further assistance; please provide me with a date when you are confident you can deliver a RURR Letter to DTSC.

Thanks in advance!

V/r,

Keith Forman
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
Navy BRAC PMO West
33000 Nixie Way
Bldg 50
San Diego CA 92147
Main Office Phone: 619-524-5096
Desk Phone: 619-524-6073